UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,816	07/28/2003	Robert Metzger	5490-000333	5865
27572 HADNESS DI	7590 07/13/2007		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303	· .	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3733	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\leq	C				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0				
	10/628,816	METZGER, ROBE	ERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James L. Swiger	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.' lely filed the mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	av 2007.						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 31-35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 31-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/28/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• , ,	• •	TD 4 404(4)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/2/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8-9, and 12-20 and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bowman et al. (US Patent 4,952,213). Bowman discloses a positioning member (Fig. 1, 66), a guiding member (56) that may be a first member, a resecting member (40). The device further includes a selection portion (58) that is considered a second assembly that also has a portion considered a sleeve at least around the selecting portion. Bowman et al. also has a third portion that has a sleeve portion (116) and is operable to connect to a first guiding member and that allows for translation along an extending portion (arrow between 98 an 16) and wherein each member is adapted to their respective movements. Note that the resecting member (40) is also considered a rod with a bone engaging section (144) and a first guiding member engaging section located adjacent to arrow (142). The resecting head is movable along

Art Unit: 3733

the axis of the resecting tool. The device also includes additional sleeve (80) and stop (46).

It is also noted that the guiding member may also be adjustably securable (See arrow in Fig. 1) along an axis relative to bone. The resecting device is adapted to adjustably position at both a first and second angles, wherein both may be distinct, because of the rotational movement (see arrows 70, and degree marks on 72). The depth markers (46) are capable of selecting an axial depth that work with a guiding/selecting member (56) that sleeves the rod to select proper depth. Bowman et al. also disclose what is considered a spacer (144) that is disposed in between the bone and the first guiding member and effectively limits the translation of the resection tool.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman et al. '213 in view of Sioufi (US Patent 5,409,489). Bowman et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a guiding member having a first and second portions. Sioufi disclose a device having a first portion (53) and a second portion (51) that may allow for a variation in angle or for patient differences (see Col. 7, lines 30-45). It would

Art Unit: 3733

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Bowman et al. having at least a guiding member with a first and second portions in view of Sioufi to better adjust the device for use.

Claims 4-5 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowman et al. '213. Bowman et al. '213 discloses the claimed invention except for a milling head ranging from .5 cm to approx 3.0 cm or a shaft with a width of 0.25 to 2.0 cm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a milling head ranging from .5 cm to approx 3.0 cm or a shaft with a width of 0.25 to 2.0 cm, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to the amendments filed above, it is still held that the prior art of record meets the claim limitations. The positioning arm may be considered at least generally proximate to the resecting portion because it may rotate and be considered at least generally proximate. The claim is directed to resecting at least a bone portion, so the device could be manipulated to resect a bone portion that could place the positioning member at least generally proximate the resecting member.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,816

Art Unit: 3733

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER